

REMARKS

Claims 1 and 2 remain pending and are both currently amended. Each claim was objected to because of form and rejected as indefinite. The Office Action provides reasons for both the rejection and the objection.

Applicant respectfully disagrees that a large part of the rationale provided for the rejection and the objection is proper rationale. For example, it should not be necessary to change "from the outside" to "from *an* outside." (The rationale for the rejection on this ground is discussed in the Office Action, page 3, middle.)

However, to expedite prosecution, applicant amends the claim as instructed in the Office Action. Based on the comments in the Office Action, page 4, bottom, it is understood that the PTO desires these changes only to address matters of form and not to alter scope.

Withdrawal of the objection and rejection is now requested. With no issues remaining applicant now solicits a Notice of Allowability.

If necessary, applicant petitions for an extension of time. The fee for the extension, and any other fees that may be due, may be debited from Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP



Joseph L. Felber

Attorney for Applicant

Registration No. 48,109

Telephone: (202) 822-1100

Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

1250 Connecticut Avenue,
NW – Suite 700
Washington DC, 20036-2657

JLF/af

Q:\2004\042310\042310 response to 9-7-05 action.doc